

Message# 300_8-11-2024 – In the Beginning

Preached first on 8/11/2024 on www.molibertyradio.us

Good morning everyone. Thank you for tuning into the message this morning.

Before we get into today's message (if we get there), there are several things I want to discuss with you. To me, they are very important issues, and I want to share my thoughts with you - and - if there is enough time - we'll continue the series "In the Beginning."

The first thing to discuss is an event that happened in West Virginia, where a woman pulled over for an unmarked cop car and a non-uniformed cop - which neither makes no difference at all - but anyway - the cop - who was the "police chief" of Monongah West Virginia took a sledge hammer to bash out her window - threatened to shoot her - had his gun pointed at her face - then - when she tried to escape him - he then tackled her to the ground - handcuffed her - and took her to jail. And why? Here's an article. And a link to a video of the event is also in the notes. The "why" is coming up.

<https://www.tmg.com/2024/08/06/west-virginia-cop-unemployed-threatening-shoot-woman-viral-traffic-stop/#:~:text=West%20Va.,AFTER%20TRAFFIC%20STOP%20SHOWDOWN!!!&text=Nathan%20Latham%20resigned%20this%20morning,local%20woman%20named%20Beth%20Delloma>.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NH6GHsB8uqk>

*West Virginia Cop Resigns After Threatening To Shoot Woman In Viral Traffic Stop Video
West Va. Cop
Resigns AFTER TRAFFIC STOP SHOWDOWN!!!
8/6/2024*

The Mayor of Monongah, JohnBoy Palmer, confirmed Nathan Lanham is no longer employed by the town ... after the uproar over Lanham pointing a gun at a local woman named Beth Delloma.

As TMZ reported, Delloma was arrested and hit with a felony charge for fleeing in a vehicle recklessly ... and she was in jail from Thursday to Monday, when a judge finally tossed the charge.

Lanham showed no regret as he laid out his side of the story in a criminal complaint ... saying it all kicked off when he pulled Delloma over and asked for her vehicle registration, driver's license, and proof of insurance.

When Delloma said the docs were at her house, Lanham claimed he told her he'd be towing the car and she couldn't leave -- that's when things escalated ... as seen in the dramatic footage, which ended with him body-slammng Delloma to the ground and cuffing her as she screamed for help.

Delloma said she wasn't sure if he was a real cop ... and when she asked to see his badge, things went from zero to 100 really fast.

With the incident caught on camera, horrified locals have rallied together and thrown their support behind Delloma.

Lanham had been Monongah's chief of police prior to his departure. End quote.

And if you watch the video, it was 10 times worse than what the article read. All of this started - and really - except for the fact the lady was white - and there were several people watching and recording the cop - she'd probably be otherwise dead - but all of this started because she had a little tiny piece of laminated paper or plastic on the back of her card - that did not have an acceptable date on it. She had an expired tag - oh my word.

And this lady - who was probably an obedient servant to the u.s. and the state of west virginia - this is how she was treated by one of her own. She supposedly told the cop she had the papers he wanted - but they were at home - and not properly attached to her car as most other obedient servants have them. This is how she was treated by one of her own.

Now, I want to examine another situation for you. This is even more serious. One that happened in Florida a few months ago. This one involves his deputies shooting and killing a black man who claimed to be a "Moorish citizen." For those of you who do not or have never heard of the "Moors" - in short - they claim to be indigenous people who live in America (not just America, but in this case, America) - and they claim to not be under the "laws, statutes, etc., of the u.s. and the states." They are usually black and usually claim to be Muslims. And, actually, if you can believe anything from history, they were *called* Moors - it wasn't a name they took to themselves. But that doesn't matter.

But, for what I'm talking about, none of that matters. According to Grady Judd, an incredible popular "sheriff" in Florida - these were his own words about what happened - the link is in the notes.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OJp1hCKx1KA>

He says there was a black man - and he shows a picture of him in the video. When I look at it, I'd estimate the man's age to be around 40. I don't know what they actually said - I'm just saying that's how old he looked to me - and I admit - I am not good at guessing ages.

Anyway, Judd says that there had been teen-aged boys that had been going around neighborhoods checking for unlocked doors - and when they found one - they would go in a house and burglarize the house. Teen-aged boys is what he said. He said it several times.

So, he said his deputies had been patrolling the area in an effort to catch or prevent the burglaries. Fine. Thou shalt not steal. That is against the Law of God and I am thoroughly happy whenever thieves get caught. In their world, because the judgment of God in relation to thieves is not carried out - there's no real reason for thieves to stop being thieves - but nonetheless - it's not a bad thing when thieves are apprehended, necessarily. So, they were on the lookout for the thieves. They saw a car sitting in a public park - and apparently - the park was closed - so they felt the need to investigate.

I'm not sure how that investigation was part of patrolling a neighborhood where teen-aged boys were breaking into houses - but apparently they needed something else to do - so they went to check on the car parked in the park.

They initiated the encounter with the man in the park. And as they are trained to do - they demanded to see I.d. from the man sitting in the car in the park. Now listen to me - I am not defending the Moors. I am not defending the man in the park. I am speaking in generalities here - and mainly because this could potentially affect true Christians in the same way. Apparently - and if you watch the video, you'll hear Judd lie several times in his video - so that makes everything else he says suspect in my opinion - Thou shalt not lie - is a Law of God - but he does so several times in his video - but he said the man provided the sheriffs with an I.d. that was not approved by the people that sit around in their buildings - their courthouses - their assemblies - their lawmaking clubs - but rather

it was an I.d. that identified this man as a “Moor”.

At this point, Judd begins his smear job against the man with the unapproved I.d. And the reason he does this - is because he now has to do all he can do to make this man out to be as bad, as mean, as evil as he can - to justify the fact that his deputies shot 38 bullets at the guy killing him. Doesn't matter that armed men instigated the whole thing - Judd has to make the dead man as demonized as possible - in order to justify the fact that his deputies killed him. His deputies initiated the contact - with their own guns.

So he begins giving a history of the Moors and immediately ties them into the “sovereign citizen movement.” As soon as he mentions “sovereign citizens” the first thing he does is talk about another “sovereign citizen” totally unrelated to this event - in a completely different part of God's world - and says that “sovereign citizen” killed a cop - so - this “sovereign citizen” they were encountering now - was going to pay the price for the other “sovereign citizen” who had supposedly killed a cop.

That is despicable. To demonize one man because of something someone else did? That's fair? No. That's what you call a hit-piece. We can spend a few minutes online and find article after article after article on bad cops. Bad politicians. Bad preachers. Bad lawyers. Bad judges. Bad prosecutors. And I mean bad - as in breaking God's Laws bad. School teachers accused of raping kids and things like that. Raping, stealing, killing, etc. So we find all these articles about all these bad people - and we say then that every cop, every judge, every sheriff, every politician, ever prosecutor - they are all rapists, thieves, murderers, etc., because we found other cops, sheriffs, judges, etc., who had committed rapes, thefts and murders.

I'll assure you that people like Grady Judd would blow a gasket if someone did that to him. But that is exactly what he does in his video when describing the events that led up to his deputies getting shot - and then the black man in the car getting killed by the deputies.

One of the things Judd said was that when the deputies went up to the car, they looked in and saw the man dressed in a white robe. Ok. Go to any “church” in America any given “Sunday” and you'll probably see people dressed in white robes. Go to the courtrooms of any courthouse in the world today and you'll see people dressed in black robes. Judd demonized the man because he was wearing a white robe - and Judd said that wasn't “normal clothing.” Ok. Stop a minute. Was that normal clothing for the supposed teen-aged boys that were going through the neighborhood checking for

unlocked doors? Would burglars typically wear white robes at night while going through neighborhoods trying to rob people?

Judd actually tried to demonize this man because he was wearing a white robe.

At one point, Judd showed a blown up copy of the man's I.d. on which the back side had a statement from the u.n. (united nations) that said that "indigenous people were not subject to statutes", etc., blah blah blah, whatever it was, you can see it for yourself in the video. So, because this man put a statement from the u.n. - the u.n. the United Nations - their headquarters is in the united states, the united states is a member of the u.n. The united states is a major - if not the major - member of the u.n. Has signed on to all of its treaties - says that it will abide by all the rules of the u.n. - and this Moorish man puts a statement from the u.n. on his I.d. and the sheriff - a sheriff in the u.s. - a sheriff in one of the states in the u.s. demonizes this man because the man put a statement from the u.n. on his I.d. These people are the biggest double-minded, ignorant people alive today. Don't get me wrong, I'm not justifying the u.n. - it's garbage like the rest of them. I'm just saying the man puts a statement on his i.d. from the u.n. and he gets blasted for it.

This is the same thing as how they tout their "founding fathers" and talk about what great men they were and what a great thing they did - and their documents are so great - and worth dying for - but when someone quotes one of them - like "governments derive their powers from the consent of the governed" - when someone says - "I do not consent" - then they get beat up, jailed, have their property taken from them - and sometimes killed for refusing to consent. It's insanity.

I don't agree to any other man or a group of men sitting around a table somewhere, or whatever they do - and make up things out of their own personal preferences - and then tell me that I have to agree to live the way they say to live - or else. "We are going to tell you the way we want you live - and you will either consent to our decisions - or we'll beat you into submission."

"We want everyone to have a piece of plastic with every piece of information about you. We want everyone to have a piece of metal on their cars with certain letters and numbers. We want everyone to wear seat belts in their cars. We don't want anyone hanging an air-freshener from their rear view mirror. We want everyone to have gps on their cars. We have decided that everyone must have an electric car. We've decided everyone must live in cities. We've decided everyone must have permission to work.

We've decided everyone must pay social security. We've decided everyone must pay for insurance. We've decided everyone must have health insurance. We've decided everyone must be vaccinated. We've decided everyone must stay home when we tell them to. We've decided everyone must wear a mask when we tell them to. We've decided you can't wear a mask if you want to. We've decided. We've determined. We've passed laws. We've passed regulations."

Who do they think they are?

This is from one of their own "supreme court judges" just this week. From reason.com, the link is in the notes.

<https://reason.com/2024/08/07/too-much-law-gives-prosecutors-enormous-power-to-ruin-peoples-lives/>

'Too Much Law' Gives Prosecutors Enormous Power To Ruin People's Lives
In a new book, Supreme Court Justice Neil Gorsuch describes the "human toll" of proliferating criminal penalties.
Jacob Sullum | 8.7.2024

"Criminal laws have grown so exuberantly and come to cover so much previously innocent conduct that almost anyone can be arrested for something," [that was a statement from] Supreme Court Justice Neil Gorsuch observed in 2019. Gorsuch elaborates on that theme in a new book, showing how the proliferation of criminal penalties has given prosecutors enormous power to ruin people's lives, resulting in the nearly complete replacement of jury trials with plea bargains.

*"Some scholars peg the number of federal statutory crimes at more than 5,000," Gorsuch and co-author Janie Nitze note in *Over Ruled: The Human Toll of Too Much Law*, while "estimates suggest that at least 300,000 federal agency regulations carry criminal sanctions." The fact that neither figure is known with precision speaks volumes about the expansion of federal law.*

Literally volumes. "By 2018, the U.S. Code encompassed 54 volumes and approximately 60,000 pages," Gorsuch writes, while "the Code of Federal Regulations spanned about 200 volumes and over 188,000 pages" as of 2021.

Since keeping up with all that law is a challenge even for experts, the rest of us cannot

hope to know exactly which conduct is a crime, even though "fair notice" is a basic requirement of due process. The civil liberties lawyer Harvey Silverglate has suggested that "the average busy professional in this country" may unwittingly commit "several federal crimes" every day.

And that's just federal law. Thanks to the vast trove of potential charges, Silverglate observes, quoting a warning from Justice Robert Jackson, "prosecutors can easily succumb to the temptation of first 'picking the man and then searching the law books, or putting investigators to work, to pin some offense on him.'"

Former President Donald Trump says that is what happened to him. His 34 felony convictions for paperwork violations stemming from his hush payment to a porn star provide evidence to support that complaint. [Well, good. Give them some of their own medicine. He's just as guilty as the rest of them.]

Because the same conduct can be construed as multiple violations of state or federal law, prosecutors can pressure defendants to plead guilty by threatening to throw the book at them. Although Special Counsel David Weiss initially was prepared to drop a federal gun charge against Hunter Biden under a diversion agreement, for example, he ultimately prosecuted the president's son for three felonies, all based on the same gun purchase, with combined maximum penalties of 25 years.

Why the change? After the diversion agreement and a plea agreement resolving tax charges fell apart, Biden decided to make the government prove its case in court. Exercising his Sixth Amendment right to trial by jury came with a stiff penalty.

Something similar happened to Aaron Swartz, a young computer programmer, entrepreneur, and internet "hactivist" who, apparently frustrated by limits on information he thought should be freely available, downloaded articles from JSTOR, an online academic library. When Swartz was caught, he returned the articles, and JSTOR considered the matter resolved.

Federal prosecutors nevertheless "charged Aaron with wire fraud and three counts under the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act of 1986," Gorsuch writes. And when Swartz declined to plead guilty, "prosecutors added another nine counts to their charges against him, exposing him now to decades in prison and millions in fines." Swartz committed suicide a few months before his trial was scheduled to begin.

As a result of such pressure, Gorsuch notes, about 97 percent of federal felony convictions and 94 percent of state felony convictions are based on plea agreements. Trial by jury, which the Framers viewed as an essential bulwark against tyranny, plays only a marginal role in [our] current criminal justice system.

It bothers me to even read the statement “our”. It's not mine. I've preached against it for 40 years. Lived against it for 40 years.

The more juries are needed as a check on prosecutorial power, in other words, the less likely they are to serve that role. "The Framers really believed in juries," Gorsuch noted in an interview with New York Times columnist David French. "I mean, there it is in Article III. There it is in the Sixth Amendment. There it is in the Seventh Amendment. They really believed in juries, and we've lost that." End quote.

What is the answer to all of this? It's simple. It is ridiculously simple. Too many “laws” - says Neil Gorsuch - not if man is Governed by God's Laws. There's just a few of them. There's just a very few of them. Easy, easy, easy.

Ruined lives, Gorsuch talks about? How about dead lives? Dead, people dead because they don't want to live by the petty whims and preferences of other men and women.

I spent almost five years of my own life dealing with people who I had never seen before in my life. And they were trying to tell me what my name was. And because I didn't use a name they wanted me to use - they wanted to put me in their stinking prison for 98 years. They stole over 60 days from me in their stinking cage, not to mention the nearly five years they took from in fighting them. All over my own name. I sat there in court and listened to them try to tell me what my own name is. My mother knows me by Charlie Steward. My Dad knows me by Charlie Steward - they filed statements in their stinking court saying what my name is - and they STILL didn't accept it. So unbelievably weird. Freaky weird. My wife knows my name, my children know my name. But these people who I had never met a single day of my life - believed they knew my own name - better than all these other people. Weird, weird, weird. And why?

Because they believe they own us. They believe they have the power to sit around and make decisions about how the rest of us will live our lives - and if we do not consent to their preferences - they will smash our windows in - tackle us to the ground - and even kill us. It's a weird, freaky power trip these people are on.

“Give us your id. We demand to know who you are. Obey us. Get on the ground. Lay there like a dog. If you don't - on come the gloves and we'll beat you into submission.”

This is what happens when devils and demons and satans gain control. Devils, demons, satans, serpents - these are all people - they are not spirit beings flying around the skys or hiding underneath children's beds at night - they are people who believe they have the power to make their own definitions of good and evil - right and wrong - otherwise known as “laws, rules, regulations, statutes, ordinances” and worse - what's worse than that? Is when these devils, demons, satans, serpents tell people that God gave them the authority - the power - to make their own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong - and then force everyone to consent.

It's bad enough for people to sit around and decide how other people are going to live - but when they say God told them to do this - that makes it even worse. Turn to II Corinthians chapter 11 and look at verse 13. I realize that starting in verse 13 it's a little out of context, but there is a principle there that even exceeds the context.

[13] For such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into the apostles of Christ.

[14] And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light.

[15] Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers [satan's ministers, the devil's ministers, the serpent's ministers] also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works.

In the garden, whether that story is literal or figurative, whatever it was - the text calls it a serpent - but whatever it was - it wasn't a fearful thing that would have made Eve instantly run or away or scream. Eve wasn't afraid of the serpent. The serpent had transformed himself into something that Eve was very comfortable with.

In the Grady Judd video - of course - it's not long before he pulls out the “God card.” God is on his side and his deputy's side - and the man who was just sitting in his car - minding his own business - but wearing his scary white robe - he was on the devil's side so it was perfectly fine for things to end up the way they did - with the scary, black “sovereign citizen” man - dead.

In the video, in a further attempt to demonize the “sovereign citizen” that Judd accused the man of being - he showed pictures of three guns that were found in the black man's car. And of course, he used the presence of those guns to further demonize the man.

But, he also had to be very careful not to tread on his “constituents” who had “voted” for him because he is such an advocate of his “second amendment” to his idol that they have created for themselves. He was very quick - watch it in the video - to say the man had a “right” to carry the guns just like anyone else - but - he still used the presence of those guns to demonize the guy.

The reality of this situation is - according to Judd - that the black man shot first. He supposedly shot one of them in the arm but it penetrated his body. The other one got shot seriously, too. But they both survived. They both survived.

But during this video - two times - Judd said the black man murdered two of his deputies.

That was a complete lie. The two deputies survived. If the two deputies had done what we were all taught as 4 year olds - to mind your own business - none of this would have taken place.

But, because anyone who would dare tell one of these demons, devils, serpents that they do not live by their preferences - two deputies were shot - and another man is dead. This didn't start because the black man was going through the neighborhood robbing people.

If the black man - or any color man - was going through the neighborhood - at night - breaking into people's houses - and the thief ended up dead - I would be the first one to stand up and say the Laws of God were vindicated!

Thieves who break in at night and get killed - the ones who killed the thieves - are 100% vindicated by the Laws of God.

But when all of this started because “deputies” approached a man who was just sitting in his car - dressed in his scary white robe - that is wrong. And these men like Grady Judd can sit there with the political forked tongues and spin it all they want to. A man is dead - not because he broke the Laws of God - but because he refused to be bullied by people who think they can tell people how they are supposed to live - and when one man says “No you can't tell me how to live” - then the ones with the biggest guns, the most bullets will show the other “who's boss.”

Grady Judd has the vast support from the people in his community because most of

them are demons, devils, serpents and satans. Devils, demons, serpents and satans are not mean, scary looking people. The Word of God says they transform themselves into angels of light.

People who believe they have the “right” to sit around somewhere and make decisions based on their personal preferences as to how other people will live - the Bible - the Word of God defines them as devils, demons, satans, serpents.

Man is only allowed to Govern according to the few Laws of God. Thou shalt not steal. Thou shalt not commit murder. Thou shalt not commit perjury. Thou shalt not adulterate - and just a few other things. Man is not allowed to make his own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong - otherwise known as “laws.”

Man is not allowed to tell one man where he can go and where he can't go. God owns this world. Man does not own it. Man is not allowed to tell another man he can't move himself or his family around unless he has a piece of paper or plastic permission slip. We don't live in a prison school house where you have to ask the teacher for a permission slip to go the bathroom. We live in a world of adults, grown up people with lives and families where God said the most important thing for a man to do is take care of his family.

Men are supposed to be free to live and prosper - and a man should able to do so - and the only thing that should prevent him or hinder him - is if he breaks one of the very few Laws of God.

I've said this before, if men lived by the Laws of God instead of the laws of men - there would be so much peace, so much freedom, so much prosperity, they wouldn't know what to do with all of it. But our world still has satans, and serpents, and demons and devils who do not want people to live by the Laws of God. Our world is filled with people who still say, “Did God really say that? Did God really say man isn't allowed to make his own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong?”

I'm sure I've told you this story before. I'm going to repeat it because we have so many new listeners. When I was going through my trials, they used to make me sit there all day long - usually until everyone else had left their “courtroom” then they'd bring me into their circus. One of the days Teresa and I were sitting there, there was another case that came up. The Missouri state police had been doing - and I can't remember the exact name of what they called their operation - but it was something like Operation

Deception - or something like that, I don't remember. They had put a sign on the highway that said something like, "Drug checkpoint ahead" and they put it right before an exit ramp and the purpose was to trick people into exiting at that ramp so they wouldn't get caught up in the checkpoint. They said that no one, except people that lived around there would ever take that exit - so only people carrying drugs would take that exit. When people exited the ramp, then the cops would follow them and find some reason to pull them over, then arrest them.

So we watched the "defense attorney" question the cops about their operation. The cop sat right there on the stand and said the whole thing was based on a ruse. That's what they called it, I remember now. A ruse. It was a lie. They were lying to people for the purpose of tricking them into exiting the interstate - then - they'd pull them over and arrest them. The defense attorney got the cop to admit - which was actually quite easy - that the whole thing was based on a lie.

Then, when the "judge" made his ruling - and he ruled against the man who was arguing that what the cops had done was "illegal" - in fact - there had been other states where their courts had ruled that the "ruse" checkpoint - was not "legal" - but anyway - in Missouri courts - the "judge" told the defendant he was guilty because - and get a load of this - he quoted Proverbs 28:1 - the wicked flee when no man pursueth. The "judge" quoted that at the defendant. Do you see the irony in this? The whole thing started with the Missouri cops setting up a "ruse" - the definition of ruse in the Cambridge dictionary - how fitting - *a trick intended to deceive someone:*
It was just a ruse to distract her while his partner took the money - the cops ruse checkpoint operation was based on a lie - but - in Missouri - Thou shalt not lie does not apply to their "government." Amazing how that works.

I asked Teresa again this week. When you read Genesis chapter 3, how can people come away with the idea that God did not want Adam and Eve to know the difference between good and evil? That just doesn't make any sense at all. I was reading Matthew Henry's Commentary on Genesis chapter 3 - and it goes all the way back there. There was this mythical Satanic being who was just around to make people rebel against God to begin this spiritual warfare. I don't get it. It's simple, yes. But we aren't talking about spirit beings flying around. We are talking about people. We are talking about people who believe they can throw out the Laws of God. They can disregard the Government of God. They can relegate the Kingdom/Government of God to the future - all for the purpose of making people believe that we are supposed to live by their preferences - the preferences - of other people. "I think this is how long people should cut their

grass. I think no one should be allowed to hang fuzzy dice from their rear view mirror, I think that looks stupid. So we need a law to keep people from hanging fuzzy dice from their mirror - and oh my - those air fresheners - no one should be allowed to hang an air freshener from their mirror.”

When I was in “Bible college” - they had what they called “chapel service” at least once a week, I think, maybe a couple times, I don't remember. But they had people stationed at the entrance to the doors and they were checking the length of the boys' hair. Couldn't touch the collar, couldn't touch the ears. When I was in “Bible college” the men weren't allowed to have a beard. Doesn't matter that the Bible clearly says that Jesus had a beard. But the men were not allowed to have a beard. Now, if you went to a “doctor”, a licensed MD, who would give you a permission slip stating that you had a skin condition that would get worse if you shaved - well - then - you could have a beard. So whenever any of us saw someone else with a beard - first thing came to mind was that he had some skin condition - and it was probably contagious - so we kind of kept our distance. So ridiculous. Men sitting around a table somewhere behind closed doors sharing their personal preferences, their personal likes and dislikes, then forcing other people to obey them, or else.

When you find people doing these things - and - sadly - when you find people agreeing to be governed this way - that's where you find devils, demons, satans, and the synagogue of satan for those who agree to such things.

Man is to be Governed exclusively by the Laws of God. God is the Creator. God is the Owner. God never turned His Creation over to devils. God never turned His Creation over to satans. God never has allowed men to make their own definitions of good and evil, right and wrong - and where you find men and women making their own definitions of good and evil - calling them “laws” - that is the domain of darkness. That's the rulers of the darkness of this world. And the worst ones - are the ones who say they do what they do because God told them it's okay to do it.

Grady Judd - of course he played the “God card.” They all do it. Every one of them believe they are doing what they do because they are the “ministers of God.” For a minute, again, turn to Romans chapter 13. Begin with verse 1.

As you well know, Romans chapter 13 does not stand apart from Romans chapter 12 - nor does it stand alone from the rest of the Word. But this morning - let it stand apart. That's what the world does with it. That's what the ministers of Satan do to it. But even

still, standing alone, it confirms the Government of God and not the little g “governments” of men. Verse 1.

[1] Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God.

This should be as simple as can be. There is no power - there is no Authority - other than the Government of God. This is not talking about men's little g “governments.” This is talking about God's Government. This is the Kingdom of God, the Kingdom of Christ, the Kingdom of Heaven - which Jesus had spent His entire ministry telling people that it was at hand.

[2] Whosoever therefore resisteth the power, resisteth the ordinance of God: and they that resist shall receive to themselves damnation.

Resisteth the ordinance of God. This is the Laws of God. This is not the “laws, statutes, ordinances of men.” This is resisting God. This is resisting the Power of God which is manifested in His Laws, His Judgments, His Commandments, His Statutes, His Ordinances. This is in no way, shape or form, referring to the ordinance, or ordinances of man. This is ridiculously simple.

[3] For rulers are not a terror to good works, but to the evil. Wilt thou then not be afraid of the power? do that which is good, and thou shalt have praise of the same:

[4] For he is the minister of God to thee for good. But if thou do that which is evil, be afraid; for he beareth not the sword in vain: for he is the minister of God, a revenger to execute wrath upon him that doeth evil.

Once again. Simple, simple, simple. The minister of God is the one who rules - who measures - and what is the measuring stick? It's the Laws of God. Take a measuring stick and remove the inches and feet - and replace that with the very few Laws of God. The ruler - the ruler of God - uses the measuring stick to rule - to measure - to judge the actions of others - including himself - according to the very few Laws of God. It is totally inconsistent with the entire rest of the Word of God - to say that the measuring stick - the ruler here - is based on the laws of people - the definitions of right and wrong, good and evil - as defined by mere men. When Romans 13 talks about good and evil. That is talking about the definitions of good and evil as defined by God - NOT MEN.

And again - the whole purpose here. It is identical to Genesis chapter 3 in that it is talking about good and evil. Good and evil can only be defined by God and by God alone. God never said that mere men can define good and evil for themselves. Do that which is Good means obey the Laws of God. Do that which is evil means disobey the Laws of God. Live according to your own definitions? This is exactly the same things that should have been learned from the story of the Garden of Eden in Genesis chapter 3.

The Bible is consistent because the God of the Bible is a consistent God. He is the One from the beginning. The One in the end. Meaning everything else that's in between. He didn't say one thing in Genesis chapter 3, then unravel it to say something different in Romans chapter 13. Who does that? Who is the one that unravels what God said? It's serpents. Devils, demons. "Did God really say? Did God really say you couldn't make your own definitions of good and evil - then force others to obey them?"

[5] Wherefore ye must needs be subject, not only for wrath, but also for conscience sake.

[6] For for this cause pay ye tribute also: for they are God's ministers, attending continually upon this very thing.

God's ministers continually attending to God's definitions of right and wrong, good and evil. What ministers would be the ones continually attending to their own definitions of good and evil? Remember II Corinthians 11:14

[14] And no marvel; for Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light.

[15] Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness; whose end shall be according to their works.

The ministers of God attend to the Laws of God. The ministers of Satan attend to the laws of men. That is easy as can be. Or certainly should be. The ministers of God punish people who disobey God's Laws. They praise people who obey God's Laws. The ministers of Satan punish people who obey God's Laws - submit to God's Government - and they praise people who submit to their "government." That, too, is very simple.

[7] Render therefore to all their dues: tribute to whom tribute is due; custom to whom custom; fear to whom fear; honour to whom honour.

This is talking about supporting the Government of God. It is not talking about

supporting the ministers of Satan.

[8] Owe no man any thing, but to love one another: for he that loveth another hath fulfilled the law.

Now. Here we go. The ministers of Satan have for so many people, twisted the first 8 verses of this passage into something it was never intended to say. Now we come to verse 8 - and this clearly shows us - without even going to chapter 12 - without even going to anything else in the Bible - this shows us the limits of Government. This shows us what God sums up as to when people can intervene in the lives of other people. Here it is.

[9] For this, [because of verses 1 through 8] Thou shalt not commit adultery, Thou shalt not kill, Thou shalt not steal, Thou shalt not bear false witness, Thou shalt not covet; and if there be any other commandment, it is briefly comprehended in this saying, namely, Thou shalt love thy neighbour as thyself.

See how simple this is? This is what Romans 13 is talking about. It's not talking about the thousands and thousands of "laws" definitions of right and wrong that man comes up with. It's talking about enforcing the very few Laws of God. Ooh it makes me angry when these ministers of Satan try to tell me that Romans 13 is their justification for bashing in people's windows, dragging them out of their cars, shooting them, killing them - when they question their "authority." Where's licenses found in Romans 13? Where's pieces of metal with letters and numbers? God never gave a blank check for men to make their decisions of right and wrong, good and evil and tell them to enforce them any way they want to. Even to the point of breaking God's Laws in order to enforce their own.

We are to punish those who adulterate, those who kill, those who steal, those who commit perjury - and just a few other Commandments. Man does not have the authority to restrict travel. To restrict freedom. To restrict work. You can't do it. And those of you who believe you can - and believe you can because you've believed a lie about Romans 13 - you are the worst ones of all. Using God, the name of God, the Authority of God to force people to obey your definitions of good and evil - you are the worst of all.

[10] Love worketh no ill to his neighbour: therefore love is the fulfilling of the law.

[11] And that, knowing the time, that now it is high time to awake out of sleep:

for now is our salvation nearer than when we believed.

[12] The night is far spent, the day is at hand: let us therefore cast off the works of darkness, and let us put on the armour of light.

[13] Let us walk honestly, as in the day; not in rioting and drunkenness, not in chambering and wantonness, not in strife and envying.

[14] But put ye on the Lord Jesus Christ, and make not provision for the flesh, to fulfil the lusts thereof.

That's what most of men's "laws" are. Fulfilling their own lusts. We're going to make our own decisions about how people are supposed to live and we're going to put out armed cops into the community to make sure people obey us.

Put on Christ. Put on His Government, His Peace. His Ways. Rule, measure the actions of people based exclusively on the Laws of God. That's the Only Ordained Government that God allows for His Creation. That's the Gospel. That's the Good News of the Gospel of Jesus Christ. That Jesus Christ came to set the captives free. To give sight to the blind. To make a way for all of us to enter into His Kingdom and to inherit eternal life. What a message! How awesome is that.

This brings me to the next thing I wanted to say - before - if we get to today's message - if there's any time left.

There are some of you that support this ministry - and I mean support it financially. And I appreciate it. I try to tell each of you every time how much we appreciate it and I do not take it for granted. And I receive what you send because I do spend a lot of time each week in preparing messages. It usually takes about two days to get it done. It's a lot of time. But you know, I have never, not once, asked people to send us anything. I support this ministry by working on other things and if any of you stopped sending anything to us - I do believe the ministry would continue as long as I'm able to keep working.

I need to say something to some of you. Because there are some of you who have sent things to us and you have referred to it as a "tithe." I need for you to know that I do not believe in the Law concerning tithing as found in the Old Covenant Law. I'm not going to get into those details this morning, that's not the purpose. I believe that the Old Covenant Law concerning tithing was another one of those types and shadows that pointed to the New. The Old Covenant Law was 10% of the increase. It was also to be paid in food, but that's still not the purpose and I'm not trying to open a debate or even

a discussion on those specifics. In the Old, God demanded 10%. In the New, God demands 100%. Very quickly, turn to II Corinthians chapter 9. Read from verse 1. I believe the Law concerning tithing means this in the New Covenant.

[1] For as touching the ministering to the saints, it is superfluous for me to write to you:

[2] For I know the forwardness of your mind, for which I boast of you to them of Macedonia, that Achaia was ready a year ago; and your zeal hath provoked very many.

[3] Yet have I sent the brethren, lest our boasting of you should be in vain in this behalf; that, as I said, ye may be ready:

[4] Lest haply if they of Macedonia come with me, and find you unprepared, we (that we say not, ye) should be ashamed in this same confident boasting.

[5] Therefore I thought it necessary to exhort the brethren, that they would go before unto you, and make up beforehand your bounty, whereof ye had notice before, that the same might be ready, as a matter of bounty, and not as of covetousness.

[6] But this I say, He which soweth sparingly shall reap also sparingly; and he which soweth bountifully shall reap also bountifully.

[7] Every man according as he purposeth in his heart, so let him give; not grudgingly, or of necessity: for God loveth a cheerful giver.

I don't even think that needs explanation. There's no necessity here. There's no percentage here. There's no requirements for supporting ministry here. And, we know in other places, Paul said he laboured so that others didn't have to provide for his needs. And again, that's not my purpose in saying these things this morning.

If someone supports this ministry, however they feel led, or if they don't do anything, it's all perfectly fine with me. But I don't want people to think their support is mandatory or that they are fulfilling Old Covenant Law in doing so. We had a brother and his wife send us a new microphone a while back. Very much appreciate that. But what concerns me is that if someone sent us something - and that was causing an undue burden on them or their family - I don't believe that's right.

I would never tell someone to give to someone or not to give to someone. That's between them and God - but I don't want people to think that I believe that the mandatory tithe Laws of the Old Covenant are for today. We give to others to try to help them in their needs. We give to others out of love for the brethren, love for

ministry, love for the Government of God.

I want to read something to you this morning that came in this week. What a blessing this is. And, this is why I said what I just said about supporting the ministry. For those of you who send in financial things or things that help - that's great. We appreciate it more than you could know. But we are blessed in other ways, too. Not everyone can send something financially. We have people that write to us - almost as soon as the message is over on Sunday mornings - and it is such a blessing. Such an encouragement to know that people are listening. People are getting it.

We present a message each week that is so opposite of what the world is about. And to know that other people get it. Other people have yielded their lives, their thoughts, to the Word of God, is just such a blessing.

This came in this week and I asked for permission to share it with you. I'll still not say the name of this dear lady - but I want to read it to you. I will tell you that she is not living in what the world calls, the "u.s." She wrote to me to ask me what number the message was playing at noon each day on Missouri Liberty Radio because she needed to send it to someone else so they could listen, so I wrote back to tell her what it was in that message that had impacted her so. And this is what I received back from her.

Thank you ! I will listen again to make sure.

[Those messages don't appear on the radio by their title. I have to change the title to fit the automation for the radio station.]

I was 'saved' on Monday, March 4th, 1994 and the only church that seemed to be 'alive' in the town I lived in just so happened to be "Christian" Zionist. The next year, I moved to a bigger city, and joined a large zionist church.

I spent 10+ years in zionism, Scofieldism, and all that rubbish. It never felt right.

At 9/11, my spirit jumped around and in short order I discovered that this fake Israel was behind it. And behind the Federal Reserve. And the UN. The cashless society nwo.

I started studying my Bible, instead of usual churchian ritual. I found Revelation ch2v9 & 3v9. And John 8:44. I started talking about all of the 9/11 lies, the synagoguans, etc., and was all but exiled from the church.

When I wrote back to her I told her how that her testimony was so similar to so many others. If someone is in “church” and is a believer - it is very difficult to get along. Most of the time, if someone who is seeking doesn't just finally give up with “church” - then they'll find themselves booted to the curb. She goes on.

My life got hard. But my spirit dug into King Jesus. I re-learned everything and realized that dispensational, pretribulation, rapture, future Israel, etc., was all garbage.

I found myself alone with King Jesus, a lot, and it was actually awesome.

[And I've heard that testimony from a lot of people, too.]

What you preached lined up with my studies and time with Jesus' Holy Ghost. I let go and found eternal life. It wasn't easy, but nothing this valuable and precious is.

I'm not great at typing but I hope this makes sense. I sure appreciate you. You are a big blessing. I now live alone, materially poor, but spiritually very rich. I wish to bless you, so I hope this report does this! I want to meet you and your family on the other side of the veil. :-)

Grace and peace from Panama, in the Azuero, to all of you.

Cristo Rey! Siempre... Amén

Awesome. Such a blessing. What a great testimony. And, it was her statement, “I now live alone, materially poor, but spiritually rich” that impressed on me to say what I said about giving to this ministry. I think it is despicable when the “churchmen” tell people to “give us money and God will give it back to you and a whole lot more.” I'm certainly not going to say that God is not able to do that, but I know what they are doing.

When people send to this ministry, it is appreciated. We appreciate it so much. But when I hear from you - when you send me things like this - this is just so awesome!

Well, I think I've just about run myself out of time. I thought it might come to that. And that's okay. I was planning to continue with Isaiah 44, but I think I'll wait until next time.

In closing, let me say again. I appreciate so much the gifts that come in to this ministry. And I so very much appreciate hearing from you concerning the messages. Today's

message alone - I know this - I know that it could end up causing some people to attack us again. But someone has to speak. Someone has to tell the world that satans, demons, devils exist - not in the spirit realm - but in the "churches" - in the political arena - in science - in education. Wherever you find people telling other people how to live their life - and it is not the Laws of God - but the laws of men - the definitions of good and evil, right and wrong - according to men - no matter how clean and righteous those people may be able to make their outward appearance - inside - they are full of dead men's bones and are doing what they do - because they are ministers of satans.

They can repent. They can lay down their weapons. There's forgiveness at bowing the knee to King Jesus. God is not willing that any should perish - but that all should come to repentance.